## **BMC Psychiatry**



Oral presentation Open Access

# Reduction in the use of seclusion in a high secure hospital in the UK: a retrospective analysis

Inti Qurashi\*, Desmond Johnson and Ben Johnson

Address: Merseycare NHS Trust, Parkbourn, Liverpool, L31 1HW, UK

\* Corresponding author

from WPA Thematic Conference. Coercive Treatment in Psychiatry: A Comprehensive Review Dresden, Germany. 6–8 June 2007

Published: 19 December 2007

BMC Psychiatry 2007, 7(Suppl 1):S121 doi:10.1186/1471-244X-7-S1-S121

This abstract is available from: http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-244X/7/S1/S121

© 2007 Qurashi et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd.

### **Background**

The main aim of this retrospective analysis is to ascertain whether a comprehensive seclusion reduction program was successful in reducing the use of seclusion within a high secure hospital in the UK.

#### **Methods**

The evaluation was conducted in Ashworth Hospital, UK. For the purposes of this paper the following strategies were identified post-hoc and analyzed as the independent variables namely, progressively lowering the threshold for a multi-disciplinary group review, providing targeted staff training dependent on patient needs and increasing the clinical staff-patient ratio. A multiple regression analysis was applied to monthly seclusion data covering the period from January 2002 – January 2006. As the total hospital census declined during the four-year period the monthly data for total hours of seclusion over the period divided by the inpatient census for that month served as the dependent variable.

#### **Results**

A graphical analysis reveals there has been a 62% reduction in the number of seclusion episodes over the 4 year period. Results from the multiple regression analysis showed that the reduction in the threshold for an internal clinical review had a statistically significant effect (p < 0.05). There were no statistically significant results from the other variables included in the regression analyses although this should not be equated with an absence of clinical significance.

#### Conclusion

Our retrospective review confirms that reduction in the use of seclusion can be achieved when it is identified as both a managerial and clinical priority, supplemented by robust performance monitoring and effective peer reviews and case management. The formation of a hospital committee populated by senior clinical managers with the progressive lowering of thresholds to precipitate a formal peer review served as a clear reminder of this priority to all clinical staff. Further analysis of the data, including other variables, are intended to be published.