

RESEARCH

Open Access



Prevalence and determinants of aggressive behavior among adults with problematic substance use in Northwest Ethiopia: a cross-sectional survey

Getasew Legas^{1*}, Habte Belete² and Sintayehu Asnakew¹

Abstract

Background: The recent WHO data reported that a high treatment gap for behavioral illnesses (70%) in low- and middle-income countries and the mortality rate of aggressive behavior reaches up to 32.1 per 100,000 populations in the region. However, the magnitude of aggressive behavior is not well stated in resource-limited settings. Therefore, the aim of this study was to assess the prevalence and determinant factors of aggressive behavior among adults with problematic substance use in northwest Ethiopia.

Methods: A community-based cross-sectional study was employed from January to March 2019. A multi-stage cluster sampling method was used to screen a total of 4028 adults for problematic substance use by using the Cutdown, Annoyed, Guilty, and Eye-opener questionnaire (CAGE AID). Finally, 838 participants were positive for problematic substance use and interviewed for aggressive behavior using a modified overt aggression scale. Multiple logistic regression analysis was used to show the adjusted odds ratios (AOR) and p-value < 0.05 considered statistically significant. A multilevel binary logistic regressions model was employed for the hierarchical structure of two-level data for the individual and woreda/district levels.

Results: The prevalence of aggressive behavior was found to be 37.9% (301/795, 95% CI: 34.5, 41.3). Stressful life events (AOR = 2.209, 95 CI; 1.423, 3.429), family history of mental illness (AOR = 4.038, 95 CI; 2.046, 7.971), comorbid physical illness (AOR = 2.01, 95 CI; 1.332, 3.032) and depressive symptoms (AOR = 2.342, 95 CI; 1.686, 3.253) were associated with aggressive behavior among individual with problem substance use.

Conclusion: Aggressive behavior was found to be high among problematic substance uses. An individual with problematic substance use is recommended to be screened by health extension workers for aggressive behavior at the community level.

Keywords: Aggression behavior, Problematic substance use, Low-income, Ethiopia

Background

The recent global burden of the diseases reported that mental, substance use, and neurological disorders accounted for 258 million disability-adjusted life years (DALYs). From this, substance use disorders accounted for 14% of DALYs [1]. Approximately 155 to 250 million people (3.5% to 5.7% of the global population) had used

*Correspondence: getasewlegas@gmail.com

¹ Department of Psychiatry, School of Medicine, College of Health Sciences, Debre Tabor University, Debre Tabor, Ethiopia
Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



illicit drugs at least once in the past year and 16 to 38 million people are estimated problematic drug users [2, 3]. The common risk factor of aggressive behavior in problematic substance use is an impairment of judgment due to substance intoxication [4, 5]. According to the World Health Organization report, in the modern emergency health care service, up 45% of substance emergency cases are presented with alcohol-related behavioral problems [6].

Aggressive behavior is a serious risk for mortality and morbidity and can include hostility, impulsivity, violence, and irritability [7]. Aggressive or violent behavior is responsible for 1.43 million deaths worldwide. The mortality rate of violent behavior is reached up to 32.1 per 100,000 populations in low- and middle-income countries [8, 9]. Problematic substance uses such as alcohol use had often the risk of aggressive behavior [10–12], 20–50% of alcohol-dependents had an incidence of violent behavior. Even alcohol abuse or dependency is more risk for aggressive or violent behavior [13–18].

In the USA, 35% of committed violence have occurred under the influence of drugs [19] while problematic substance use was responsible for up to 92% of domestic violence. Especially, stimulants are a promoter of violent behavior by reducing impulse control [20]. Intoxication and withdrawal symptoms of various substances can induce aggressive behavior with or without comorbid mental illness [21, 22].

The prevalence of aggressive behavior among problematic substance use varied across the globe. The prevalence of aggressive behavior among adults with problematic substance use ranged from 2.41% in India [23] to 95% in Malaysia [24]. The other study also showed that the prevalence of aggressive behavior among problematic substance users in India ranged from 35.2% [25] to 73% [26]. And the higher prevalence of aggressive behavior was reported, 32.5% in Iran [27], 62% in the UK [28], and 39.68% in Spain [29].

In Africa, the magnitude of aggressive behavior was reported from 27.6% in Nigeria [30] to 65.5% in South Africa [31]. A number of factors were reported as a risk for aggressive behavior in problematic substance users across different studies. Accordingly, male sex [32], stressful life events [33–35], low level of perceived social support [36, 37], family maladjustment [33, 37], depressive symptoms [38], family history of substance abuse [26], history of abuse [32, 38], family history of mental illness [26], and comorbid physical illness were significantly associated with aggressive behavior [26].

In Ethiopia, the prevalence of aggressive behavior among schizophrenia and bipolar patients was 26.6% and 29.4% respectively [39, 40]. But there is no study is conducted to assess the magnitude of aggressive behavior

in problematic substance use. However, in the Ethiopian university, 54.3% of the students were reported with committing at least one act of physical violence or aggression [35]. Certain substance uses such as khat use, a natural stimulant with amphetamine-like effects, and alcohol use were found perceived causes of domestic violence, the perpetration of physical and sexual abuse through increasing anger and aggression [35, 41–43].

Even though the link between problematic substance use and aggressive behavior has been well addressed in developed countries, this issue needs to be addressed in resource-limited countries like Ethiopia. In this regard, there might be a disparity across high-and-low-income countries regarding the link between problematic substance use and aggressive behavior as the culture, lifestyle, type of habit, and behavioral responses to negative conditions could vary in the two zones. Hence, assessing and showing aggressive behavior among problematic substance users is important to enforce policymakers and different stakeholders to integrate mental health services with health care centers. Although the ultimate psychopathology of aggressive behavior poses a brain neuropsychology base, the primary risk factors could be a broad bio-psycho-social dimension which would be affected by socioeconomic factors, culture, community attitude, personal traits, and environmental conditions. Therefore, assessing the magnitude of aggressive behavior in problematic substance uses in resource-limited countries is compulsory to provide valuable evidence, and to inspire other future research on this area. To the best of researchers' deep review, no research has been conducted in Ethiopia to address the magnitude of aggressive behavior among people with problematic substance use at the community level, where is the use of many substances such as alcohol drinking, khat chewing, and smoking is lawful. Therefore, this study was primarily intended to determine the prevalence and factors associated with aggressive behavior among problematic substance use in community residents of south Gondar zone, Northwest Ethiopia.

Methods

Study design, period, and setting

A community-based, cross-sectional study was employed between January to March 2019. The study was carried out in the South Gondar zone, Northwest, Ethiopia. The town is 99 km far from Bahir Dar (the capital city of the Amhara region) and 667 km far from Addis Ababa (the capital city of Ethiopia). Based on the 2007 census report; the total population size of South Gondar is estimated at 2,051,738. Alcohol, cannabis, nicotine (smoking), and khat are the most common available substances in the study area. All except cannabis are lawful in the

community. In the study area, there is only one referral hospital that gave mental health services for problematic substance use and psychiatric disorders.

Study participants

All adults who were living in the South Gondar zone were the source population. Study participants whose age was ≥ 18 years old and who lived for at least 6 months in the selected kebeles (the smallest administrative unit) were included in the study. On the other hand, adults who were unable to communicate due to severe illness were excluded.

Sample size determination

The sample size has been calculated using Epi Info version 7 considering the following assumptions: the prevalence of aggressive behavior among problematic substance uses, 50% (as there was no previous study in the area); confidence limit, 5%; confidence level, 95%; design effect, 2; and non-response rate, 10%. Thus, a total sample size of 838 was obtained.

Sampling procedures

A two-stage cluster sampling technique was utilized. First, we selected 4 woredas from the total of 15 woredas in the South Gondar Zone. Again, we randomly selected three kebeles in each of the selected 4 woredas. There were a total of 11,200 households in the selected kebeles. Then, screened all adults in each household for problematic substance use by using the CAGE AID questionnaire (Cut down, Annoyed, Guilty, and Eye-opener) until we get the calculated sample size of 838 adults with problematic substance uses. Based on the CAGE AID questionnaire, if a participant scores a minimum of two positive answers from a total of four questions, we considered problematic substance uses. We have screened 4028 adults for problematic substance use to get the calculated sample size of 838. Of those, 43 individuals have not assessed due to the reason 14 individuals were unable to communicate (complaining of illness), 15 individuals terminate their interview, and the other 14 individuals were not voluntary for further interview. Finally, we assessed aggressive behavior among 795 residents who were positive for problematic substance use.

Method of screening for problematic substance uses

Participants were asked in a separated and secured room whether they have problematic substance uses or not. A pretested, interviewer-administered, anonymous, semi-structured, and standardized CAGE AID questionnaire was adapted. The data were collected by five mental health professionals and the questionnaire was translated into Amharic language (local working language). The

training was given to the data collectors on the data collection tools and sampling techniques.

Measurements

A 4 item of the CAGE AID questionnaire was used to screen problematic substance uses among community residents. Scoring of two or more positive answers from a total of four questions (yes/no) was considered problematic substance uses. Each item of questions was rated on a “yes” or “no” response which is valued at one point (1) and zero (0). CAGE-AID is an important tool to assess for other problematic drug uses (khat, tobacco, and cannabis) in addition to screening problematic alcohol uses in the community, and also has been utilized by previous Ethiopian studies [44, 45].

Aggressive behavior among individuals with problematic substance use was assessed by using the modified overt aggression scale [46]. This tool has a total of 16 items with four categories that measure four types of aggressive behaviors, namely “verbal aggression”, “aggression against property”, “auto-aggression”, and “physical aggression. The weighted value for every four subscales is different and the total score is 40. The verbal aggression subscale has a weight of $\times 1$; which means, any score on this subscale should be multiplied by 1. The subscale against property has a weight of $\times 2$; the auto-aggression subscale has $\times 3$, while the physical aggression subscale has a weight of $\times 4$. Aggression is expressed on five Likert scales which reflect the severity of the aggressive behavior (severity scores ranging from 0 (no aggression) to 4 points (maximum violence) for each category. A scoring of 3 or more (out of 40) was defined as having aggressive behavior [46] and the tool was validated in Nigeria [47]. The Cronbach’s alpha for the tool was 0.84.

The depressive symptom was measured using the patient health questionnaire (PHQ-9). PHQ-9 has nine items and each item has rated on a four-point scale, 0 (not at all), 1 (several days), 2 (more than half the days), and 3 (nearly every day) with the total score ranging from 0 to 27. Then a score of five or more on the PHQ-9 questionnaire indicates the presence of depressive symptoms for the last two weeks. PHQ-9 was validated in Ethiopia with the sensitivity and specificity of 86% and 67%, respectively [48]. Stressful life events were measured using a 12-item of List of Threatening Experiences(LTE), and the presence of stressful life events was explained by experiencing one or more stressful life events in the last 6 months [49].

Social support was assessed using a three-item Oslo social support scale which has three items with a range of between three and fourteen. From a total score of 14, scoring off 12–14 was categorized as strong support; a score of 9–11 was categorized as moderate social

support; and a score of 3–8 was categorized as poor social support [50]. Perceived stigma among adults with problematic substance use was assessed using the Perceived Stigma Substance Abuse Scale. The instrument has 8 items with four Likert scales from strongly disagree to strongly agree. The total score ranges from 8 to 32 and individuals with higher scores from the mean indicate having greater perceived stigma [51].

A family history of mental illness was assessed by asking the participants whether there is a known family member who had a diagnosis of a mental illness or not. Clinical variables such as comorbid chronic medical illnesses and previous history of aggressive behavior were assessed by asking the participant if they had any diagnosed medical, surgical, or neurological illness and aggressive behavior before the study.

Socioeconomic factors such as participants' level of education were categorized as uneducated (who were unable to read and write), and educated (who were able to read and write). Their living circumstance was checked if they were living with their family or alone, and their job status had also checked if they had a job or not.

Data processing and analysis

The data were entered into Epi data version 3.1 and analyzed by using Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS) version 20. We present the result frequencies/percentages, odds ratios, and adjusted odds ratios were calculated using logistic regression. A multilevel binary logistic regression model was constructed to account for the two-level data for the individual and the woreda/district level.

Results

Socio-demographic Characteristics

A total of 795 respondents were interviewed with a response rate of 94.8%. The majority of the respondents 606 (76.2%) were male while 711 (89.4%) were Orthodox Christian by religion. More than half, 460 (57.9%) study participants were jobless. Regarding ethnicity, 751(94.5%) were Amhara. 644(81%) of study subjects was educated. Most of the respondents 512(64.4%) were currently not married and 643(80.9%) of the study subjects were residing in urban areas (Table 1).

Psychosocial and clinical factors

Of the total 795 participants, three hundred thirty-six (42.3%) had poor social support, three hundred seventy (46.5%) had perceived stigma due to their

Table 1 Socio-demographic characteristics respondents in south Gondar zone, northwest Ethiopia, 2019 ($n = 795$)

Variable	Category	Frequency	Percentage
Age	18–40	481	60.5%
	> = 40	314	39.5%
Sex	Male	606	76.2%
	Female	189	23.8%
Ethnicity	Amhara	751	94.5%
	other	44	5.5%
Educational status	Non-educated	151	19%
	Educated	644	81%
Religion	Orthodox	711	89.4%
	Muslim	84	10.6%
Marital status	Currently not married	512	64.4%
	Married	283	35.6%
Living circumstance	With family	475	59.7%
	Alone	320	40.3%
Residence	Rural	152	19.1%
	Urban	643	80.9%
Job status	Jobless	460	57.9%
	Has job	335	42.1%

Table 2 Distribution of psychosocial factors among respondents in south Gondar zone Northwest, Ethiopia, 2019 ($n = 795$)

Variable	Category	Frequency	Percentage
Social support	Poor	336	42.3%
	Moderate	286	36.0%
	Strong	173	21.7%
Previous history aggression behavior	Yes	95	11.9%
	No	700	88.1%
Stigma	Yes	370	46.5%
	No	425	53.5%
Stressful life events	Yes	106	13.3%
	No	689	86.7%
Comorbid physical illness	Yes	128	16.1%
	No	667	83.9%
Depressive symptoms	Yes	291	36.6%
	No	504	63.4%
Family history of mental illness	Yes	49	6.2%
	No	746	93.8%

problematic substance use and one hundred six (13.3%) were experienced at least one stressful life event. Regarding clinical factors; one hundred twenty-eight (16.1%) of participants had a comorbid chronic physical illness, and two hundred ninety-one (36.6%) had depressive symptoms. Forty-nine (6.2%) of individuals had a family history of mental illness and seven

hundred (88.1%) of problematic substance users hadn't a previous history of aggressive behavior (Table 2).

Prevalence of Aggressive Behavior

Aggressive behavior in problematic substance use was 301/795, 37.9% (95% CI: 34.5, 41.3). Regarding the domains of aggressive behavior; verbal aggression was the highest domain eighty (26.6%) and auto aggression was the lowest fifty-five (18.3%). Physical aggression was seventy-three (24.3%) while aggression against property was sixty-two (20.6%).

Determinant Factors of Aggressive Behavior

In this study, the previous history of aggression behavior, co-morbid physical illness, depressive symptoms, stressful life events, and family history of mental illness were associated with aggressive behavior on bivariate analysis. While, co-morbid physical illness, depressive symptoms, stressful life events, and family history of mental illness were found to be significantly associated with aggressive behavior among adults with problematic substance use on multivariable analysis (Table 3).

Multilevel analysis

The results of the multilevel binary logistic regression analyses are reported in Table 4. This examination showed that aggressive behavior was not varied significantly across woredas /districts ($\beta = 0.082$, $p = 0.231$). Model 1 presents the effects of individual-level of variables. For the individual-level variables; comorbid physical illness, family history of mental illness, depressive symptoms, and stressful life events were associated with aggressive behavior (Table 4).

Table 4 Multilevel logistic regression analyses of contributing factors to aggressive behavior among participants

Model 1 Fixed effects β				
Model variables	B	P	OR	95% CI
Intercepts	.082	.231		
Comorbid physical illness	.692	.001	2.01	1.332–3.032
Depressive symptoms	.851	<.001	2.342	1.686–3.253
Family history of mental illness	1.396	<.001	4.038	2.046–7.971
Stressful life events	.792	<.001	2.209	1.423–3.429
Model $\chi^2 =$	1.138	$P < .001$		

Discussion

Aggressive behavior has a major impact on mortality through homicide or suicide and is the main reason for emergency admission among many psychiatric patients. In the current human psychological problems, aggressive behavior is the primary cause of many conflicts, injuries, and crimes, and recent studies showed that up to 35% of emergency department visits are directly or indirectly substance-related problems [52]. In this regard, substance misuse is responsible for leading to aggressive behavior which could increase morbidity and mortality that cause imprisonment, and limits social interaction in the community.

The prevalence of aggressive behavior in this study was 37.9% (95% CI: 34.5, 41.3). The current study was similar to a study done in India which was 35.3% [25] and 39.7% in Spain [29]. However, the magnitude of aggressive behavior in the current study was lower than in studies done in Malaysia 95% [24], the UK 62% [28], and South Africa 65.5% [31]. But, the finding was higher than studies conducted in Iran 32.5% [27] and Nigeria 27.6% [30]. The variation might be due to the number of participants

Table 3 Bivariate and multivariate analysis of aggressive behavior among problematic substance use in south Gondar zone, northwest Ethiopia 2019

Variables	Category	Aggressive behavior		COR 95% CI	AOR 95% CI	P-value
		Yes	No			
Comorbid physical illness	Yes	72	56	2.46 (1.68, 3.61)	2.07(1.37, 3.11) *	0.001
	No	229	438	1	1	
Previous history of aggressive behavior	Yes	46	49	1.64 (1.06, 2.52)	1.56(0.99, 2.46)	0.56
	No	255	445	1	1	
Stressful life event	Yes	58	48	2.218(1.46, 3.35)	2.09(1.36, 3.23) *	0.0001
	No	243	446	1	1	
Depressive symptoms	Yes	143	148	2.12(1.52, 2.85)	2.16(1.58, 2.94) *	0.0001
	No	158	346	1	1	
Family history of mental illness	Yes	35	14	4.51(2.38, 8.54)	3.94 (2.02, 7.69) *	0.0001
	No	266	480	1	1	

* $p < 0.05$, COR = crude odds ratio; AOR = adjusted odds ratio; 1 = reference group

in the study. That is, a study was done in the UK, only 86 individuals were included, in Nigeria 298, Iran 280, Malaysia 200, and in South Africa, 84 study participants were included. The other possible reason might be the difference in the assessment tool; used Ahvaz Aggression Questionnaire (AAQ), Malaysia Aggression Questionnaires (AQ) scale, and India used Buss and Perry Aggression Questionnaire. But, we utilized the overt modified aggression scale. The other possible reason for this discrepancy might be cultural differences.

Regarding the associated factors, we found an association between depressive symptoms and aggressive behavior. The finding of this study was similar to studies done in the USA and Ethiopia [38, 53]. Moreover, irritability or impulsivity is frequently observed in people with depressive symptoms and correlates positively with aggressive behavior [54–56].

Our study also identified family history of mental illness had a statistically significant positive correlation with aggressive behavior. The finding has been supported by studies done in India and the USA [26, 38]. In this regard, the effect of genetic predisposition has been explained as biological predisposing factors [57, 58], and evidence explains that a family history of behavioral illness has been associated with a higher rate of impulsive and aggressive behavior [59].

We found an association between comorbid physical illness and aggressive behavior. The finding was supported by other studies [60, 61]. The possible reason might be medical illness and neurological disorders can increase the risks of anger or aggressive behavior [56, 62]. The dual effect of their behavioral problems and the burden of physical illness might worsen and lead to dissatisfaction in their life which might be exposed to aggressive behavior.

The odds of developing aggressive behavior were found higher among participants who experienced stressful life events than their counterparts. The finding was supported by previous studies [34, 63]. Experience of stressful life events has a strong link with amplification of aggressive behavior [64] or exposure to stressful life events has associated with reactive aggression [63], and high stress in young people has a direct impact on the development of substance use and aggressive behavior [65]. Even some people who have low activity of catechol-O-methyltransferase and monoamine oxidase A genes are more sensitive to stressful life events that exhibited higher levels of aggressive behavior [66].

Limitations of the study

Interpretation of our findings is hindered by many limitations, including the cross-sectional design, which impedes causal inferences. The other limitation is

female participants were small which may hamper the representativeness of our findings.

Conclusion

Aggressive behavior among problematic substance users was found to be high. Having comorbid depression, a family history of mental illness, comorbid medical/surgical illness, stressful life events, and poor social support were the significant predictors of aggressive behavior. Therefore, in addition to control of amendable factors, regular screening of aggressive behavior in problematic substance users at the community level is recommended.

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge Debre Tabor University for sponsoring the study. We would like also to acknowledge data collectors and study participants.

Author's contribution

GL and HB conceived the study and were involved in the study design, analysis, report writing, and drafted the manuscript. SA was involved in the study design and proposal development. All authors reviewed the manuscript. The authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This study was supported by Debre Tabor University (2019/01) for data collection. But the authors received no specific funding for this study.

Availability of data and materials

All relevant data are available in the manuscript.

Declarations

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Ethical approval and consent to participate

All methodological procedures were performed under the declaration of Helsinki and the ethical clearance was obtained from the ethical review committee of Debre Tabor University. Confidentiality was maintained by omitting the name of the study subjects. Their right to stop in the middle of the interview was also assured. All participants were informed about the aim and advantages of the study, and then informed consent was taken from all study subjects and their legal guardians for non-educated study participants. All methods also were carried out in accordance with relevant guidelines and regulations.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing of interests

The authors have no competing interest.

Author details

¹Department of Psychiatry, School of Medicine, College of Health Sciences, Debre Tabor University, Debre Tabor, Ethiopia. ²Department of Psychiatry, College of Medicine and Health Sciences, Bahir Dar University, Bahir Dar, Ethiopia.

Received: 10 December 2021 Accepted: 10 June 2022

Published online: 15 June 2022

References

1. Whiteford HA, Ferrari AJ, Degenhardt L, Feigin V, Vos T. The global burden of mental, neurological and substance use disorders: an analysis from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. *PLoS ONE*. 2015;10(2): e0116820.

2. Wu L-T. Substance abuse and rehabilitation: responding to the global burden of diseases attributable to substance abuse. *Substance abuse and rehabilitation*. 2010;1:5.
3. Degenhardt L, Mathers B, Guarinieri M, Panda S, Phillips B, Strathdee S, et al. Reference Group to the United Nations on HIV and injecting drug use. Meth/amphetamine use and associated HIV: Implications for global policy and public health *Int J Drug Policy*. 2010;21(5):347–58.
4. Schubert CA, Mulvey EP, Glasheen C. Influence of mental health and substance use problems and criminogenic risk on outcomes in serious juvenile offenders. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*. 2011;50(9):925–37.
5. Fite PJ, Colder CR, Lochman JE, Wells KC. Pathways from proactive and reactive aggression to substance use. *Psychol Addict Behav*. 2007;21(3):355.
6. World Health Organization. Alcohol and injury in emergency department: Summary of the report from the WHO Collaborative Study on Alcohol and Injuries. World Health Organization Report number I. 2007. <https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/43581>.
7. Comai S, Tau M, Gobbi G. The Psychopharmacology of Aggressive Behavior: A Translational Approach Part 1 Neurobiology. *J Clin Psychopharmacol*. 2012;32(1):83–94.
8. Siever LJ. Neurobiology of aggression and violence. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2008;165(4):429–42.
9. Krug EG, Mercy JA, Dahlberg LL, Zwi AB. The world report on violence and health. *The Lancet*. 2002;360(9339):1083–8.
10. Hoaken PN, Stewart SH. Drugs of abuse and the elicitation of human aggressive behavior. *Addict Behav*. 2003;28(9):1533–54.
11. Chermack ST, Blow FC. Violence among individuals in substance abuse treatment: the role of alcohol and cocaine consumption. *Drug Alcohol Depend*. 2002;66(1):29–37.
12. Franklin FA, TAL II, Webster DW, Pan WK. Alcohol outlets and violent crime in Washington DC. *West J Emerg Med*. 2010;11(3):283.
13. Jaffe JH, Babor TF, Fishbein DH. Alcoholics, aggression and antisocial personality. *J Stud Alcohol*. 1988;49(3):211–8.
14. Mayfield D. Alcoholism, alcohol, intoxication and assaultive behavior. *Diseases of the nervous system*. 1976.
15. Nicol A, Gunn J, Gristwood J, Foggitt R, Watson JP. The relationship of alcoholism to violent behaviour resulting in long-term imprisonment. *Br J Psychiatry*. 1973;123(572):47–51.
16. Schuckit MA, Russell JW. An evaluation of primary alcoholics with histories of violence. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 1984;45(1):3–6.
17. Arseneault L, Moffitt TE, Caspi A, Taylor PJ, Silva PA. Mental disorders and violence in a total birth cohort: results from the Dunedin Study. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 2000;57(10):979–86.
18. Swanson JW, Holzer CE III, Ganju VK, Jono RT. Violence and psychiatric disorder in the community: evidence from the Epidemiologic Catchment Area surveys. *Psychiatr Serv*. 1990;41(7):761–70.
19. Baskin-Sommers A, Sommers I. Methamphetamine use and violence among young adults. *J Crim Just*. 2006;34(6):661–74.
20. Dinwiddie SH. Psychiatric disorders among wife batterers. *Compr Psychiatry*. 1992;33(6):411–6.
21. McKetin R, McLaren J, Riddell S, Robins L. Relationship between Methamphetamine Use and Violent Behaviour, The. *BOCSAR NSW Crime and Justice Bulletins*. 2006:16.
22. Lindqvist P, Allebeck P. Schizophrenia and assaultive behaviour: the role of alcohol and drug abuse. *Acta Psychiatr Scand*. 1990;82(3):191–5.
23. Tripathi M, Phookun H, Yadav J, Srivastava A, Talukdar U. Predictors of aggressive behaviours in drug de-addiction centre. *Delhi Psychiatry Journal*. 2012;15:79–84.
24. Fauziah I, Mohamad MS, Chong ST, Manaf AA. Substance abuse and aggressive behavior among adolescents. *Asian Soc Sci*. 2012;8(9):92.
25. Lyngdoh M, Akoijam BS, Agui RS, Singh KS. Sleep pattern, aggressive behavior and substance use among school students of Manipur: A cross-sectional study. *Indian J Public Health*. 2019;63(3):239.
26. Tripathi MN, Phookun HR, Talukdar U, Srivastava AS, Yadav JS. Aggression in psychiatry: impact of family history, substance use, psychiatric history, and dual diagnosis. *Dysphrenia*. 2014;5(1).
27. Rounaghi M, Pakseresh S, Asiry S, Atrkar RZ. Relationship between aggression and addiction tendency among university students. *J Holistic Nurs Midwifery*. 2018;28(3):185–91.
28. Klee SW, Hilary. Violent crime, aggression and amphetamine: What are the implications for drug treatment services? *Drugs: education, prevention and policy*. 2001;8(1):73–90.
29. Fernández-Montalvo J, López-Goñi JJ, Arteaga A. Violent behaviors in drug addiction: Differential profiles of drug-addicted patients with and without violence problems. *J Interpers Violence*. 2012;27(1):142–57.
30. Chukwujekwu D, Stanley P. Prevalence and correlates of aggression among psychiatric in-patients at Jos University Teaching Hospital. *Niger J Clin Pract*. 2011;14(2):163–7.
31. Stols D, Van Heerden R, Van Jaarsveld A, Nel R. Substance abusers' anger behaviour and sensory processing patterns: An occupational therapy investigation. *South African Journal of Occupational Therapy*. 2013;43(1):25–34.
32. Elmasry NM, Fouad AA, Khalil DM, Sherra KS. Physical and verbal aggression among adolescent school students in Sharkia, Egypt: prevalence and risk factors. *Egyptian journal of psychiatry*. 2016;37(3):166.
33. Huang J, Tang J, Tang L, Chang HJ, Ma Y, Yan Q, et al. Aggression and related stressful life events among Chinese adolescents living in rural areas: A cross-sectional study. *J Affect Disord*. 2017;211:20–6.
34. Brown S, Fite PJ, DiPierro M, Bortolato M. Links between stressful life events and proactive and reactive functions of aggression. *Journal of Aggression, Maltreatment & Trauma*. 2017;26(6):691–9.
35. Gelaye B, Philpart M, Goshu M, Berhane Y, Fitzpatrick AL, Williams MA. Anger expression, negative life events and violent behaviour among male college students in Ethiopia. *Scandinavian journal of public health*. 2008;36(5):538–45.
36. Mu'taz Fuad Alradaydeh M, Alorani OI. The Relationship between Aggression and Perceived Social Support among University Students in Jordan.
37. Bibi N, Malik JA. Effect of social support on the relationship between relational aggression and family-maladjustment: Adolescents' perspective. *Pakistan Journal of Psychological Research*. 2016:63–76.
38. Chermack ST, Murray RL, Walton MA, Booth BA, Wryobeck J, Blow FC. Partner aggression among men and women in substance use disorder treatment: correlates of psychological and physical aggression and injury. *Drug Alcohol Depend*. 2008;98(1–2):35–44.
39. Belete H, Mulat H, Fanta T, Yimer S, Shimelash T, Ali T, et al. Magnitude and associated factors of aggressive behaviour among patients with bipolar disorder at Amanual Mental Specialized Hospital, outpatient department, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia: cross-sectional study. *BMC Psychiatry*. 2016;16(1):1–8.
40. Araya T, Ebnemelek E, Getachew R. Prevalence and associated factors of aggressive behavior among patients with schizophrenia at ayder comprehensive specialized hospital, Ethiopia. *BioMed research international*. 2020;2020.
41. Sharma V, Papaefstathiou S, Tewolde S, Amobi A, Deyessa N, Relyea B, et al. Khat use and intimate partner violence in a refugee population: a qualitative study in Dollo Ado. Ethiopia *BMC public health*. 2020;20:1–10.
42. Bulto LN, Dessie Y, Geda B. Magnitude, causes and characteristics of trauma victims visiting Emergency and Surgical Units of Dilchora Hospital, Eastern Ethiopia. *The Pan African Medical Journal*. 2018;30.
43. Lencha B, Ameya G, Baresa G, Minda Z, Ganfure G. Intimate partner violence and its associated factors among pregnant women in Bale Zone, Southeast Ethiopia: A cross-sectional study. *PLoS ONE*. 2019;14(5): e0214962.
44. Belete H, Mekonen T, Fekadu W, Legas G, Getnet A. Help seeking behavior for problematic substance uses in north-West Ethiopia. *Substance abuse treatment, prevention, and policy*. 2019;14(1):25.
45. Aklog T, Tiruneh G, Tsegay G. Assessment of substance abuse and associated factors among students of debre markos poly technique college in debre markos town, East Gojjam Zone, Amhara Regional State, Ethiopia, 2013. *Global journal of medical research*. 2013.
46. Kay SR, Wolkenfeld F, Murrill LM. Profiles of aggression among psychiatric patients: II. Covariates and predictors. *Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease*. 1988.
47. Chukwujekwu D, Stanley P. The Modified Overt Aggression Scale: how valid in this environment? *Niger J Med*. 2008;17(2):153–5.
48. Gelaye B, Williams MA, Lemma S, Deyessa N, Bahretibeb Y, Shibre T, et al. Validity of the patient health questionnaire-9 for depression screening and diagnosis in East Africa. *Psychiatry Res*. 2013;210(2):653–61.
49. Brugha T, Bebbington P, Tennant C, Hurry J. The List of Threatening Experiences: a subset of 12 life event categories with considerable long-term contextual threat. *Psychol Med*. 1985;15(1):189–94.

50. Dalgard OS, Dowrick C, Lehtinen V, Vazquez-Barquero JL, Casey P, Wilkinson G, et al. Negative life events, social support and gender difference in depression. *Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol.* 2006;41(6):444–51.
51. Luoma JB, O'Hair AK, Kohlenberg BS, Hayes SC, Fletcher L. The development and psychometric properties of a new measure of perceived stigma toward substance users. *Subst Use Misuse.* 2010;45(1–2):47–57.
52. MacLeod JB, Hungerford DW. Alcohol-related injury visits: do we know the true prevalence in U.S. trauma centres? *Injury.* 2011;42(9):922–6.
53. Belete H, Mulat H, Fanta T, Yimer S, Shimelash T, Ali T, et al. Magnitude and associated factors of aggressive behaviour among patients with bipolar disorder at Amanual Mental Specialized Hospital, outpatient department, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia: cross-sectional study. *BMC Psychiatry.* 2016;16(1):443.
54. Peluso M, Hatch J, Glahn D, Monkul E, Sanches M, Najt P, et al. Trait impulsivity in patients with mood disorders. *J Affect Disord.* 2007;100(1–3):227–31.
55. Perroud N, Baud P, Mouthon D, Courtet P, Malafosse A. Impulsivity, aggression and suicidal behavior in unipolar and bipolar disorders. *J Affect Disord.* 2011;134(1–3):112–8.
56. Cen X, Li Y, Hasselberg M, Caprio T, Conwell Y, Temkin-Greener H. Aggressive Behaviors Among Nursing Home Residents: Association With Dementia and Behavioral Health Disorders. *J Am Med Dir Assoc.* 2018;19(12):1104–9.e4.
57. Mann JJ, Bortinger J, Oquendo MA, Currier D, Li S, Brent DA. Family history of suicidal behavior and mood disorders in probands with mood disorders. *Am J Psychiatry.* 2005;162(9):1672–9.
58. Simeonova DI, Lee FJ, Walker EF. Longitudinal investigation of the relationship between family history of psychosis and affective disorders and Child Behavior Checklist ratings in clinical high-risk adolescents. *Schizophr Res.* 2015;166(1–3):24–30.
59. Diaconu G, Turecki G. Family history of suicidal behavior predicts impulsive-aggressive behavior levels in psychiatric outpatients. *J Affect Disord.* 2009;113(1–2):172–8.
60. Petit JR. Management of the acutely violent patient. *Psychiatric Clinics.* 2005;28(3):701–11.
61. McKinlay W, Brooks D, Bond M, Martinage D, Marshall M. The short-term outcome of severe blunt head injury as reported by relatives of the injured persons. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry.* 1981;44(6):527–33.
62. Williams WH, Chitsabesan P, Fazel S, McMillan T, Hughes N, Parsonage M, et al. Traumatic brain injury: a potential cause of violent crime? *The Lancet Psychiatry.* 2018;5(10):836–44.
63. Brown S, Fite PJ, DiPierro M, Bortolato M. Links between stressful life events and proactive and reactive functions of aggression. *Journal of aggression, maltreatment & trauma.* 2017;26(6):691–9.
64. Wang M, Li H, Deater-Deckard K, Zhang W. Interacting Effect of Catechol-O-Methyltransferase (COMT) and Monoamine Oxidase A (MAOA) Gene Polymorphisms, and Stressful Life Events on Aggressive Behavior in Chinese Male Adolescents. *Front Psychol.* 2018;9:1079.
65. Kiive E, Laas K, Vaht M, Veidebaum T, Harro J. Stressful life events increase aggression and alcohol use in young carriers of the GABRA2 rs279826/rs279858 A-allele. *European neuropsychopharmacology : the journal of the European College of Neuropsychopharmacology.* 2017;27(8):816–27.
66. Wang M, Li H, Deater-Deckard K, Zhang W. Interacting effect of Catechol-O-Methyltransferase (COMT) and Monoamine Oxidase A (MAOA) Gene Polymorphisms, and stressful life events on aggressive behavior in Chinese Male adolescents. *Front Psychol.* 2018;9:1079.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

