

Research article

Open Access

Reconciling disparate prevalence rates of PTSD in large samples of US male Vietnam veterans and their controls

William W Thompson¹, Irving I Gottesman^{*2} and Christine Zalewski³

Address: ¹Immunization Safety Office, US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA, USA, ²Departments of Psychiatry and Psychology, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN, USA and ³Pacific Graduate School of Psychology, Palo Alto, CA, USA

Email: William W Thompson - wct2@cdc.gov; Irving I Gottesman* - gotte003@umn.edu; Christine Zalewski - cez@comcast.net

* Corresponding author

Published: 02 May 2006

Received: 09 January 2006

BMC Psychiatry 2006, 6:19 doi:10.1186/1471-244X-6-19

Accepted: 02 May 2006

This article is available from: <http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-244X/6/19>

© 2006 Thompson et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract

Background: Two large independent studies funded by the US government have assessed the impact of the Vietnam War on the prevalence of PTSD in US veterans. The National Vietnam Veterans Readjustment Study (NVVRS) estimated the current PTSD prevalence to be 15.2% while the Vietnam Experience Study (VES) estimated the prevalence to be 2.2%. We compared alternative criteria for estimating the prevalence of PTSD using the NVVRS and VES public use data sets collected more than 10 years after the United States withdrew troops from Vietnam.

Methods: We applied uniform diagnostic procedures to the male veterans from the NVVRS and VES to estimate PTSD prevalences based on varying criteria including one-month and lifetime prevalence estimates, combat and non-combat prevalence estimates, and prevalence estimates using both single and multiple indicator models.

Results: Using a narrow and specific set of criteria, we derived current prevalence estimates for combat-related PTSD of 2.5% and 2.9% for the VES and the NVVRS, respectively. Using a more broad and sensitive set of criteria, we derived current prevalence estimates for combat-related PTSD of 12.2% and 15.8% for the VES and NVVRS, respectively.

Conclusion: When comparable methods were applied to available data we reconciled disparate results and estimated similar current prevalences for both narrow and broad definitions of combat-related diagnoses of PTSD.

Background

The systematic examination of combat-related stress disorders has been ongoing since the end of World War I. [1-5] In 1980, Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) first appeared as a formal diagnosis in DSM-III [6-9] and has periodically been the focus of debate regarding appropriate definition, symptom criteria, and etiology. [10-16] The potential traumas associated with the diagnosis of PTSD are heterogeneous and include military battle, vio-

lent sexual attacks, and natural disasters. [17-22] Many studies have demonstrated that PTSD symptoms persist over time and can emerge or re-emerge long after the occurrence of the original trauma, given another "trigger". [19,21,23-36] Certain traumas such as wartime combat or incarceration as a prisoner of war typically lead to more pronounced and longer lasting PTSD symptoms. Individual differences in response to severe traumas are well documented, and both environmental and biological factors

have been found to moderate the subsequent experience of stress-related symptoms. [37-45] Finally, a recent study has suggested that rates of combat-related PTSD may be inflated because some individuals report experiencing a traumatic combat exposure when in fact there is little objective evidence to support their claims. [46-48]

A number of different instruments have been developed over the last two decades to measure or diagnose PTSD including the Structured Clinical Interview (SCID), [6,49-51] alternative versions of the PTSD module of the Diagnostic Interview Schedule (DIS), [52-59] the Mississippi Scale for Combat-Related PTSD (MISS-PTSD) [60-64], and the PTSD sub-scale of the Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory (MMPI-PTSD) [65-71]. The instruments used for diagnosing PTSD have varying reliabilities. The psychometric properties of the MISS-PTSD have been studied extensively, supporting the reliability and validity of the instrument. [72-74] The psychometric properties of the MMPI-PTSD have been studied less often and the measure has been criticized for being a non-specific measure of response to severe trauma. [67,75]

The two largest and most comprehensive studies to examine the impact of the Vietnam War on Vietnam-veterans were carried out more than a decade after the US pulled military troops out of Vietnam. The National Vietnam Veteran Readjustment Study [19,76-79] assessed a nationally representative sample of male Vietnam Theater-Veterans, male Vietnam Era-Controls, male civilian controls, and female veterans and civilian controls. The Vietnam Experience Study (VES) [21,80,81] randomly sampled male Vietnam Theater-Veteran Army draftees and male Army Vietnam Era-Control draftees. Although both studies were conducted at approximately the same time and examined large representative samples of male veterans, the published studies reported disparate current and lifetime rates of PTSD. Specifically, the NVVRS reported the current prevalence rate of PTSD to be 15.2% [19,76] whereas the VES reported a current prevalence rate of 2.2%. [21] Previous attempts have been made to describe the similarities and differences between the NVVRS and VES methodologies [82] and the authors concluded that the NVVRS sample was the only study appropriate for extrapolating national PTSD prevalence estimates because the VES used an invalid PTSD diagnostic instrument. The present investigation examined the methodologies used by the two studies and provides a reanalysis of the data in an effort to provide a more coherent explanation for the reported discrepancies.

Methods

NVVRS method

The NVVRS used an area probability approach from the military records of 8.2 million veterans. The sample

included both men and women, enlisted and officers, and represented all branches of the military. They obtained data from a sample of male Vietnam Theater-Veterans (n = 1,200) and male Vietnam Era-Controls (n = 424), as well as from female veterans and both male and female civilians controls. Additional details of the sampling procedure are described elsewhere. [19] We report data only for the male Veterans in this report.

The diagnostic methods in the NVVRS were complex. Initially, a clinical subsample that disproportionately included PTSD subjects from both the Vietnam Theater-Veterans (n = 344) and Vietnam-Era Veterans (n = 96) was used to develop a series of logistic regression models that predicted a complex dichotomous variable based on multiple measures of PTSD. Subjects for the clinical subsample were selected to be within reasonable commuting distance of 28 specific Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas (i.e., no farther than 75 miles from any of the 28 geographic areas). The clinical subsample included "apparent" PTSD cases and apparent non-cases. The apparent non-cases were selected to maximize the likelihood of detecting false negatives by over-sampling individuals with high scores on a combat exposure index and/or reporting nonspecific psychological distress.

The dichotomous outcome used for the clinical subsample logistic regression analyses was a composite PTSD diagnosis that comprised the MISS-PTSD [60], the Keane MMPI-PTSD sub-scale [65], and a semi-structured diagnostic interview based on FORM NP-V of the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-III-R (SCID) [50,51,83]. Rules for interpreting and combining results from the three different instruments have been published previously. [82] The predictors for the logistic regression equations included the total score from the MISS-PTSD and the total number of PTSD symptoms reported from a structured diagnostic PTSD interview developed in the style similar to the Diagnostic Interview Schedule (D-PTSD). [53,56,84,85] The results of the logistic regression models from the clinical subsample were subsequently used to extrapolated prevalence estimates for PTSD for the entire NVVRS sample who were all administered the MISS-PTSD and the D-PTSD.

VES methods

For the VES, 48,513 records were randomly sampled from an initial population of 4.9 million U.S. Army records. Records were selected for the study based on the following six criteria: 1) male veteran, 2) military occupational specialty (MOS) other than duty soldier or trainee, 3) single term of enlistment, 4) minimum of 16 weeks of active service time, 5) pay grade E-1 to E-5 at discharge, and 6) entered the military for the first time between January 1, 1965 and December 31, 1971. Only veterans who served in Vietnam at some time during their enlistment were

included in the Vietnam Theater sample. To be included in the Vietnam-Era Control group, the veteran must have served at least one tour of duty in Germany, Korea, or the United States during the same time period, and never have served in the Army in Vietnam. The sample used to estimate PTSD prevalence rates in the VES was comprised of 4,462 veterans who voluntarily participated in an intensive one-week medical and psychological evaluation in 1985 and 1986. The sample was randomly drawn from a larger random sample of 15,288 veterans who participated in the telephone interview component of the VES. Both of these samples, as well as characteristics of non-respondents, are described in detail elsewhere. [21,86,87]

All subjects were administered a modified version of the Diagnostic Interview Schedule III-A (DIS-III-A), which included a PTSD module. Details of the DIS-III-A modifications have been documented previously. [87] In articles published by the CDC, [21] PTSD prevalence estimates were based solely on whether or not veterans met the diagnostic criteria for combat-related PTSD using the modified DIS-III-A. The MMPI was administered to all veterans and would have allowed for the scoring of the MMPI-PTSD sub-scale, but these results were not incorporated into published findings.

Comparison of PTSD prevalence estimates for the VES and NVVRS

The primary comparisons for the two studies focused on the DSM-III-R criteria for combat-related PTSD. We also included alternative definitions of combat-related PTSD using criteria from both DSM-III and DSM-III-R. The DSM-III-R Manual [88] requires at least 6 symptoms for PTSD: one B-Criteria symptom, three C-Criteria symptoms, and two symptoms the D-Criteria symptoms. There are a total of 17 symptoms or probes that comprise the criteria: four B-Criteria symptoms, seven C-Criteria symptoms, and six D-Criteria symptoms.

Modified versions of the PTSD module from the Diagnostic Interview Schedule were the only instruments that were administered to all subjects in both studies. For the NVVRS, the D-PTSD module included 21 symptom probes, while the VES DIS-III-A PTSD module included only 9 symptom probes. Therefore, the NVVRS sampled more than the standard 17 DSM-III-R symptoms associated with PTSD while the VES sampled fewer probes.

The MMPI-PTSD was administered to all subjects in the VES and to the clinical sub-sample of the NVVRS. The MMPI-PTSD scale has been used with a number of different cutting-scores based on the types of cases who were important to identify and to differentiate. For example, low cutting-scores of 13 and 14.5 have been used in studies where investigators wanted to minimize the identifica-

tion of false-negatives while a cutting-score of 30 has been used in studies where the investigators wanted to minimize the identification of false positives. [65,70,71] The MISS-PTSD was administered only to subjects in the NVVRS. A similarly sensitive cutting-score of 89 has been used in studies where investigators wanted to minimize the identification of false-negatives while a specific threshold of 107 has been used when attempting to minimize the identification of false positives. [19,60]

For the purposes of comparisons across the two studies, we constructed several alternative composite diagnoses. For the NVVRS, the D-PTSD and the MISS-PTSD were administered to all subjects in the total sample, and therefore these instruments were used to develop a composite diagnosis for combat-related-PTSD for the NVVRS sample. For the composite diagnosis, a score of 94 was used for the MISS-PTSD. Previous research has suggested that a score of 94 provides the most reliable estimate of the PTSD prevalence rate for Vietnam Veterans. [19] For the VES, the DIS-III-A PTSD and the MMPI-PTSD were administered to all subjects in the sample; thus these instruments were used to develop a multiple indicator diagnosis of combat-related-PTSD for the VES sample. For the composite diagnosis, a cutting-score of 26 was used for the MMPI-PTSD scale. The composite diagnosis was defined as a variable that ranged from zero to two and was estimated by summing the dichotomously coded variables from the two instruments used to estimate the prevalences. The composite score was then recoded into a "narrow" PTSD definition and a "broad" PTSD definition. For example, for the NVVRS, the D-PTSD and the MISS-PTSD were the two instruments used to provide the final diagnosis for PTSD. A person who was positively diagnosed with both the D-PTSD and the MISS-PTSD instruments would have been classified as a hit for both the narrow PTSD definition and the broad PTSD definition. A person who was diagnosed positively with just one of the two instruments would have been classified as a hit for only the broad PTSD definition. The results for the narrow definition are over-weighted toward the prevalence from the instrument with the lowest prevalence, while the results for the broad definition are overweighted toward the prevalence of the instrument with the highest prevalence. We were unable to make sensitivity and specificity estimates for either study because we did not have a gold standard measure. We were also unable to make direct comparisons of overlapping items from the two studies because many of the items from the DSM-III criteria were split into several different items in the DSM-III-R criteria.

Based on feedback from one of our reviewers, we carried out an analysis using the NVVRS sample comparing subjects who were "VES-Like" to subjects who were "Non-VES-Like". A similar analysis has been carried out previously on one of the outcome measures.[82]

Table 1: PTSD Items Administered Using D-PTSD Scale in NVVRS

DSM-III-R Symptoms Occurring in Last 30 Days Criteria		Male Vietnam Theater Veterans			Male Vietnam Era Controls		
		N	Prev (%)	95% CI	N	Prev (%)	95% CI
B	Unpleasant memories	1183	2.7	1.7-3.8	410	1.1	0.0-2.3
	Disturbing memories	1182	3.4	2.1-4.6	406	1.8	0.0-3.5
	Felt as if event recurring	1181	3.2	1.9-4.5	406	1.0	0.0-2.3
	Flashbacks	1179	1.8	0.9-2.7	404	0.5	0.0-1.3
	Suddenly feeling anxious/fearful/panicky	1187	3.3	2.0-4.7	407	0.3	0.0-0.5
C	Tried not to think about previous events	1179	3.1	1.9-4.4	408	0.7	0.0-1.5
	Avoided feelings about previous events	1185	2.8	1.6-4.0	403	0.3	0.0-1.0
	Avoided certain places or activities	1190	1.3	0.6-2.1	410	1.3	0.0-2.8
	Could not remember portions of traumatic event	1169	1.8	0.8-2.8	406	0.0	0.0-0.1
	Lost interest in activities	1188	2.8	1.6-4.0	410	1.2	0.0-2.5
	Stopped caring about activities	1190	2.0	1.0-3.0	411	1.4	0.0-2.9
	Felt cut off from people	1186	4.1	2.6-5.5	410	1.4	0.0-2.9
	Felt numb or empty inside	1191	2.3	1.2-3.4	411	1.2	0.0-2.4
	Could not feel things anymore	1189	2.5	1.4-3.6	408	0.6	0.0-1.6
	D	Difficulty falling asleep	1182	5.9	4.1-7.6	410	2.2
Felt as if you might get out of control		1185	1.5	0.7-2.3	406	1.0	0.0-2.2
Minor events bothered you/made you angry		1189	3.1	1.9-4.3	411	2.6	0.1-4.7
Trouble concentrating		1192	2.8	1.7-3.9	412	1.2	0.1-2.3
Felt you had to stay on guard		1190	3.4	2.1-4.7	409	2.0	0.1-3.8
Spells or panic attacks due to previous memory		1175	0.9	0.3-1.4	407	0.0	NA
Unexpected noises startle you		1190	3.6	2.2-5.0	412	1.1	0.0-2.3

1. Confidence Intervals that were less than 0 were truncated to 0.

Results

NVVRS

NVVRS total sample

The weighted prevalence estimates for DSM-III-R PTSD symptoms occurring currently (i.e. symptoms occurring within the last month), using the D-PTSD scale from the NVVRS, are presented in Table 1. For Criterion B symptoms, using DSM-III-R rules, the current prevalence estimates for the male Vietnam Theater-Veterans ranged from 1.8% for "flashbacks" to 3.4% for "disturbing memories". For the male Vietnam-Era Controls, the Criterion B symptom current prevalences ranged from 0.3% for "sudden anxious feeling" to 1.8% for "disturbing memories". For the criterion C symptoms, the highest current prevalence rate was "feeling cut off from people" for both groups of veterans. Finally, for Criterion D symptoms, the highest current prevalence estimate for the Theater-Veterans was "difficulty falling asleep" (5.9%). For the Era-Controls, the highest current prevalence was for "minor events making you angry" (2.6%).

The weighted prevalence estimates for two different measures of PTSD used in the NVVRS sample are presented in Table 2. For the male Vietnam Theater-Veterans, PTSD prevalence estimates using the MISS-PTSD ranged from 6.0% to 20.9% depending on the cutting-score used. Current PTSD prevalence estimates using the D-PTSD were 3.3% for combat-related PTSD and 3.5% for PTSD due to

any trauma. For lifetime PTSD prevalence estimates using the D-PTSD, the estimates were 7.9% and 8.9% for these same veterans.

As expected, for the male Vietnam-Era Controls, current and lifetime PTSD prevalence estimates were substantially lower and ranged from 3.8% to 11.2% using the MISS-PTSD. Current PTSD prevalence estimates using the D-PTSD were 0.0% for combat-related PTSD and 1.6% for all-cause PTSD. Lifetime combat-related PTSD prevalence estimates using the D-PTSD were also quite low relative to the male Vietnam Theater-Veterans with prevalence of 0.1% for combat-related PTSD and to 4.6% for the all-cause PTSD.

Clinical subsample

Three PTSD instruments were administered to the NVVRS clinical sub-sample (i.e., MISS-PTSD, MMPI-PTSD, and the D-PTSD). The weighted prevalence estimates for the PTSD scales obtained from the clinical subsample are presented in Table 3. For the male Vietnam Theater-Veterans, the PTSD prevalence estimates ranged from 7.0% to 20.4% using the MISS-PTSD measure and ranged from 3.8 to 14.5 using the MMPI-PTSD instrument. For current PTSD prevalence rates using the D-PTSD, estimates were 3.3% and 3.4% for the male Vietnam Theater-Veterans. For lifetime PTSD prevalences using the D-PTSD, the estimates were 10.8% and 10.1% for the all-cause and combat related

Table 2: PTSD Scales Administered to Entire NVVRS Sample

Scale	Criteria	Male Vietnam Theater Veterans			Male Vietnam Era Controls		
		N	Prev (%)	95% CI	N	Prev (%)	95% CI
Mississippi Scale	Cutting-Score ≥ 107	1190	6.0	4.4–7.6	406	3.8	1.4–6.2
	Cutting-Score ≥ 94	1190	15.3	12.5–18.1	406	8.3	4.8–11.8
	Cutting-Score ≥ 89	1190	20.9	17.8–24.1	406	11.2	7.3–15.2
One Month D-PTSD (DSM-III-R)	All-Cause PTSD	1197	3.5	2.3–4.8	412	1.6	0.0–3.1
	Combat-Related PTSD	1197	3.3	2.1–4.6	412	0.0	0.0–0.3
Lifetime D-PTSD (DSM-III-R)	All-Cause PTSD	1197	8.9	6.9–10.8	412	4.6	2.2–7.1
	Combat-Related PTSD	1197	7.9	6.0–9.8	412	0.1	0.0–0.2

PTSD, respectively. Similarly for the Male Vietnam-Era Controls, the MISS-PTSD estimates ranged from 12.1% to 26.3% while the MMPI-PTSD ranged from 10.1% to 28.1%. For the D-PTSD, PTSD prevalence estimates ranged from 0.3% to 3.8% depending on the trauma and whether symptoms were experienced currently or previously.

VES

The prevalence estimates for the individual items used for the DIS-III-A PTSD module are presented in Table 4. All data presented represent symptoms that occurred within the last month of the PTSD interview and many years after the war's end. For Criterion B symptoms, using the organizational structure of DSM-III-R, the current prevalence estimates ranged from 1.9% for "felt as if event recurring" to 7.6% for "recurrent thoughts or dreams" for the male Vietnam-Theater-Veterans. For the male Vietnam-Era Controls, the Criterion B symptom current prevalences did not exceed 0.1% for any of the three symptoms. For the Criterion C symptoms, the symptom with the highest

current prevalence was "avoiding situations that remind the person of past experiences" for both groups. Finally, for Criterion D symptoms, the highest prevalence estimate for the Vietnam-Theater-Veterans was "feeling jumpy or easily startled" (10.6%). For the Era-Controls, the highest one month prevalence was the same item "feeling jumpy or easily startled" (0.3%).

The current prevalence estimates for the summary measures from the VES are presented in Table 5. For the Vietnam-Theater-Veterans, the MMPI-PTSD prevalence estimates based on various cutting scores ranged from 6.4% to 34.2%. For the male Vietnam Era-Controls, the MMPI-PTSD prevalence estimates ranged from 3.0% to 24.5%. For current PTSD prevalence estimates using the DIS-III-A PTSD instrument and DSM-III criteria, 2.4% of the male Vietnam-Theater-Veterans were positively diagnosed as cases of combat-related PTSD. When DSM-III-R criteria were used, the current PTSD prevalence estimate increased to 4.7%. There were no Vietnam-Era controls

Table 3: PTSD Scales Administered to NVVRS Clinical Subsample

Scale	Criteria	Male Vietnam Theater Veterans			Male Vietnam Era Controls		
		N	Prev (%)	95% CI	N	Prev (%)	95% CI
Mississippi Scale	Cutting-Score ≥ 107	259	7.0	3.8–10.2	55	12.1	1.0–23.2
	Cutting-Score ≥ 94	259	14.9	10.3–19.5	55	19.0	5.1–33.0
	Cutting-Score ≥ 89	259	20.4	14.7–26.1	55	26.3	16.0–36.6
MMPI-Keane Scale	Cutting-Score ≥ 30	232	3.8	1.0–6.6	50	10.1	0.0–23.2
	Cutting-Score ≥ 26	232	5.9	2.6–9.3	50	10.1	0.0–23.2
	Cutting-Score ≥ 14	232	14.5	9.9–19.2	50	28.1	11.8–44.3
One Month D-PTSD (DSM-III-R)	All-Cause PTSD	259	3.4	1.0–5.8	57	0.3	0.0–0.8
	Combat-Related PTSD	259	3.3	0.9–5.7	57	0.3	0.0–0.8
Lifetime D-PTSD (DSM-III-R)	All-Cause PTSD	259	10.8	6.3–15.2	57	3.8	0.0–10.8
	Combat-Related PTSD	259	10.1	5.8–14.5	57	0.3	0.0–10.8

1. Confidence Intervals that were less than 0 were truncated to 0.

Table 4: PTSD Items Administered Using DIS-III A Instrument in VES

DSM-III-R Symptoms Occurring within Last Month Criteria		Male Vietnam Theater Veterans (N = 2,483)		Male Vietnam Era Controls (N = 1,976)	
		Prev (%)	95% CI	Prev (%)	95% CI
B	Recurrent thoughts or dreams	7.6	6.6–8.6	0.1	0.0–0.3
	Felt as if event recurring	1.9	1.4–2.4	0.0	NA
	Symptoms get worse in situations that remind	3.9	3.1–4.7	0.1	0.0–0.3
C	Lost ability to care/Lost interest in activities	5.1	4.2–6.0	0.1	0.0–0.3
	Avoids situations that remind	7.9	6.8–9.0	0.2	0.0–0.4
	Ashamed of being alive	1.9	1.4–2.4	0.1	0.0–0.3
D	Jumpy or easily startled	10.6	9.4–11.8	0.3	0.0–0.6
	Trouble Sleeping	7.4	6.4–8.4	0.2	0.0–0.4
	Forgetful or trouble concentrating	5.2	4.3–6.1	0.1	0.0–0.3

diagnosed with current combat-related PTSD using the DIS-III-A PTSD instrument.

For lifetime combat-related PTSD prevalence estimates (i.e. now or ever in the lifetime), 14.7% of the male Vietnam Theater-Veterans were identified as cases using the DIS-III-A PTSD instrument and DSM-III criteria while 27.4% were characterized as cases using the DIS-III-A PTSD instrument and DSM-III-R criteria. A very small percentage of the Controls were identified as cases using the DIS-III-A PTSD instrument and lifetime DSM criteria for combat-related PTSD (0.6% for DSM-III and 1.1% for DSM-III-R). When DSM-III-R criteria were used, the PTSD prevalence estimates nearly doubled for both current and lifetime PTSD estimates.

Comparisons of prevalence estimates: NVVRS vs. VES

Table 6 presents the composite estimates for combat-related PTSD from both samples. The composite diagnosis for the NVVRS used the cutting score of 94 for the MISS-PTSD while the composite diagnosis for the VES used a cutting score of 26 for the MMPI-PTSD. We focus on comparisons of DSM-III-R prevalence estimates as the estimates could be calculated from both studies. For the male Vietnam-Theater-Veterans, the narrow prevalence

estimates for the NVVRS and VES were 2.9% and 2.5% respectively. The broad prevalence estimates were 15.8% and 12.2% respectively. For the male Vietnam-Era controls, a comparison of the prevalence rates across the two studies is less helpful given the focus on combat-related PTSD. For the narrow prevalence estimates, the NVVRS and VES both obtained estimates of 0.0% while for the broad estimates, the two studies yielded estimates of 8.3% and 4.8% respectively.

Comparison of NVVRS "VES-Like" to "Non-VES-Like" subjects

Similar to the previous analyses carried out by the NVVRS research team [82], there were no statistically significant differences on any of the outcome measures comparing the "VES-Like" subjects to the "Non-VES-Like" subjects for either the male Vietnam-Theater-Veterans or the male Vietnam-Era-Veterans.

Discussion

The results of this study provide additional insight into the sources of the disparate rates of PTSD reported in the VES and NVVRS, conducted by two independent groups of investigators. The use of a similar methodology to assess clinically relevant PTSD criteria and symptoms

Table 5: PTSD Scales Administered to VES Sample

Scale Criteria	Criteria	Male Vietnam Theater Veterans			Male Vietnam Era Controls		
		N	Prev (%)	95% CI	N	Prev (%)	95% CI
MMPI-Keane Scale	Cutting-Score ≥ 30	2,483	6.4	5.4–7.4	1,976	3.0	2.2–3.8
	Cutting-Score ≥ 26	2,483	10.0	8.8–11.2	1,976	4.8	3.9–5.7
	Cutting-Score ≥ 14	2,483	34.2	32.3–36.1	1,976	24.5	22.6–26.4
One Month DIS-III-A PTSD	DSM-III Combat-Related PTSD	2,483	2.4	1.8–3.0	1,976	0.0	0.0–0.1
	DSM-III-R Combat-Related PTSD	2,483	4.7	3.9–5.5	1,976	0.0	0.0–0.1
Lifetime DIS-III-A PTSD	DSM-III Combat-Related PTSD	2,483	14.7	13.3–16.1	1,976	0.6	0.3–0.9
	DSM-III-R Combat-Related PTSD	2,483	27.4	25.6–29.2	1,976	1.1	0.6–1.6

Table 6: Summary of Broad and Narrow PTSD Criteria for the NVVRS and VES Samples

Scales	Criteria	Male Vietnam Theater Veterans			Male Vietnam Era Controls		
		N	Prev (%)	95% CI	N	Prev (%)	95% CI
NVVRS Current PTSD Diagnosis	Broad-DSM-III-R¹	1,188	15.8	12.9–18.6	406	8.4	4.9–11.9
	Narrow-DSM-III-R¹	1,188	2.9	1.7–4.1	406	0.0	0.0–0.1
VES Current PTSD Diagnosis	Broad-DSM-III²	2,483	10.7	9.5–11.9	1,976	4.8	3.9–5.7
	Narrow-DSM-III²	2,483	1.7	1.2–2.2	1,976	0.0	NA
	Broad-DSM-III-R¹	2,483	12.2	10.9–13.5	1,976	4.8	3.9–5.7
	Narrow-DSM-III-R¹	2,483	2.5	1.9–3.1	1,976	0.0	NA

1. Based on DSM-III-R definition for the DIS instrument.

2. Based on DSM-III definition for the DIS instrument.

resulted in consistent and understandable results across the two studies for male Vietnam Theater-Veterans. Specifically, the prevalence estimates of combat-related PTSD for male Vietnam Theater-Veterans was 2.5% using the VES and 2.9% using the NVVRS with restrictive criteria. Using more sensitive thresholds, the estimates were also quite similar across the two studies; 12.2% using the VES and 15.8% using the NVVRS. The discrepancies in the originally reported prevalence rates [19,21] can be attributed to several factors: the NVVRS opted for more sensitive cutting-scores while the VES opted for more specific cutting-scores; the NVVRS used a six month prevalence estimate for current PTSD while the VES used a one month prevalence estimate for current PTSD and the VES used a single indicator for estimating prevalence whereas the NVVRS used multiple, fungible indicators.

In one study, the DIS-III-A PTSD measure was criticized as being an invalid measure of PTSD [82] and the poor validity of the instrument was put forward as the reason for the low prevalence estimates reported in the VES. Issues surrounding sensitivity and specificity often lead to the misinterpretation of similarities and differences in prevalence rates when comparing results across studies. [89-93] The results from our efforts at reconciliation suggest that the original VES study used very specific criteria for estimating the prevalence of PTSD (i.e. they only included symptoms that met criteria for each symptom and they used fewer symptom probes) while the NVVRS used very sensitive criteria for estimating the prevalence of PTSD (i.e. they included symptoms that were below criteria for each symptom and they included more symptom probes). Even though the DIS-III-A PTSD included fewer PTSD probes relative to the D-PTSD, we demonstrated that the DIS-III-A PTSD still retained enough items to provide useful data for estimating the prevalence of PTSD, especially when used in conjunction with another instrument such as the MMPI-PTSD.

Estimates of disease prevalence can vary dramatically depending on the time scale sampled (i.e. one month versus 6 month estimates). In establishing current PTSD, NVVRS inquired about PTSD symptoms recalled in the last 6 months while the VES inquired about symptoms in the last month. It should not be surprising that when we compared data for similar time frames following a severe trauma, the NVVRS and VES were more similar in terms of their prevalence estimates for PTSD.

Consistent and reliable measurement of complex psychological constructs such as PTSD is critical to advancing our understanding of both the etiology and treatment of psychological disorders. [72] The Institute of Medicine has recently been charged with reviewing the previous literature and recommending a reliable measure of PTSD for Gulf War veterans.[94] In general, single indicator models of psychological constructs are considered to be both less reliable and less valid measures compared to multiple indicator models. [72-74] Increasing the number of reliable probes used to measure psychological constructs results in an associated increase in the overall reliability of the instrument. For the NVVRS, the D-PTSD module included 21 symptom probes while for the VES study, the DIS-III-A PTSD module included only 9 symptom probes. Therefore, assuming equal reliability among the individual items, the NVVRS provided a more reliable estimate of combat-related PTSD prevalence relative to the VES. Finally, we agree with Kulka and colleagues [82] that the differences between the NVVRS and the VES were due to differences in instrumentation rather than due to differences in samples.

Conclusion

The results of our study highlight the benefits of applying uniform criteria across studies when comparing results and help to resolve some of the previously reported discrepant results in the literature. We also highlight the implications of using different thresholds for estimating

the prevalence of combat-related PTSD. We strongly suggest that future comparisons of combat-related PTSD prevalence estimates use available sensitivity and specificity data to adjust observed prevalence estimates in order to compare estimates of the true population prevalences, within and across wars to detect secular changes. Finally, and sadly, with the beginning of several new wars throughout the international community, future studies of combat-related PTSD should consider the use of similar PTSD instruments to the ones used in the VES and NVVRS to allow for direct comparisons of prevalence estimates and predisposing factors across wars.

Competing interests

The author(s) declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

Dr. Thompson was responsible for writing the manuscript, data analyses, and interpretation of the results. Dr. Gottesman was responsible for acquiring data, interpretation of the results and revising and editing the manuscript. Dr. Zalewski was responsible for interpretation of the results and revising and editing the manuscript.

Acknowledgements

We wish to thank Drs. Dan and Lynda King for their helpful comments on earlier drafts of the manuscript. The content of this publication does not necessarily reflect the views or policies of the Department of Health and Human Services, nor does mention of trade names, commercial products, or organizations imply endorsement by the U.S. Government.

References

- Turnbull GJ: **A review of post-traumatic stress disorder. Part I: Historical development and classification.** *Injury* 1998, **29**:87-91.
- Turnbull GJ: **A review of post-traumatic stress disorder. Part II: Treatment.** *Injury* 1998, **29**:169-175.
- Jones E, Wessely S: **Psychiatric battle casualties: an intra- and interwar comparison.** *Br J Psychiatry* 2001, **178**:242-247.
- Jones E, Hodgins-Vermaas R, McCartney H, Everitt B, Beech C, Poynter D, et al.: **Post-combat syndromes from the Boer war to the Gulf war: a cluster analysis of their nature and attribution.** *BMJ* 2002, **324**:321-324.
- Jones E, Vermaas RH, McCartney H, Beech C, Palmer I, Hyams K, et al.: **Flashbacks and post-traumatic stress disorder: the genesis of a 20th-century diagnosis.** *Br J Psychiatry* 2003, **182**:158-163.
- Brett EA, Spitzer RL, Williams JB: **DSM-III-R criteria for posttraumatic stress disorder.** *Am J Psychiatry* 1988, **145**:1232-1236.
- Kuhne A, Baraga E, Czekala J: **Completeness and internal consistency of DSM-III criteria for post-traumatic stress disorder.** *J Clin Psychol* 1988, **44**:717-722.
- Davidson J, Smith R, Kudler H: **Validity and reliability of the DSM-III criteria for posttraumatic stress disorder. Experience with a structured interview.** *J Nerv Ment Dis* 1989, **177**:336-341.
- Feinstein A: **Posttraumatic stress disorder: a descriptive study supporting DSM-III-R criteria.** *Am J Psychiatry* 1989, **146**:665-666.
- Solomon SD, Canino GJ: **Appropriateness of DSM-III-R criteria for posttraumatic stress disorder.** *Compr Psychiatry* 1990, **31**:227-237.
- Wessely S: **Risk, psychiatry and the military.** *Br J Psychiatry* 2005, **186**:459-466.
- Watson CG, Juba MP, Manifold V, Kucala T, Anderson PE: **The PTSD interview: rationale, description, reliability, and current validity of a DSM-III-based technique.** *J Clin Psychol* 1991, **47**:179-188.
- Zalewski C, Thompson W, Gottesman I: **Comparison of Neuropsychological Test Performance in PTSD, Generalized Anxiety Disorder, and Control Vietnam Veterans.** *Assessment* 1994, **1**:133-142.
- Fukuda K, Nisenbaum R, Stewart G, Thompson WW, Robin L, Washko RM, et al.: **Chronic multisymptom illness affecting Air Force veterans of the Gulf War.** *JAMA* 1998, **280**:981-988.
- Kinzie JD, Goetz RR: **A century of controversy surrounding posttraumatic stress stress-spectrum syndromes: the impact on DSM-III and DSM-IV.** *J Trauma Stress* 1996, **9**:159-179.
- Maes M, Delmeire L, Schotte C, Janca A, Creten T, Mylle J, et al.: **Epidemiologic and phenomenological aspects of post-traumatic stress disorder: DSM-III-R diagnosis and diagnostic criteria not validated.** *Psychiatry Res* 1998, **81**:179-193.
- Bland SH, O'Leary ES, Farinero E, Jossa F, Trevisan M: **Long-term psychological effects of natural disasters.** *Psychosom Med* 1996, **58**:18-24.
- Basoglu M, Salcioglu E, Livanou M, Ozeren M, Aker T, Kilic C, et al.: **A study of the validity of a screening instrument for traumatic stress in earthquake survivors in Turkey.** *J Trauma Stress* 2001, **14**:491-509.
- Kulka RA, Schlenger WE, Fairbank JA, Hough RL, Jordan BK, Marmar CR, et al.: *Trauma and the Vietnam War Generation: Report Findings from the National Vietnam Veterans Readjustment Study* New York: Brunner/Mazel; 1990.
- Thompson KM, Crosby RD, Wonderlich SA, Mitchell JE, Redlin J, Demuth G, et al.: **Psychopathology and sexual trauma in childhood and adulthood.** *J Trauma Stress* 2003, **16**:35-38.
- US Centers for Disease Control: **Health status of Vietnam veterans. I. Psychosocial characteristics. The Vietnam Experience Study.** *JAMA* 1988, **259**:2701-2707.
- Wang X, Gao L, Shinfuku N, Zhang H, Zhao C, Shen Y: **Longitudinal study of earthquake-related PTSD in a randomly selected community sample in north China.** *Am J Psychiatry* 2000, **157**:1260-1266.
- Sutker PB, Winstead DK, Galina ZH, Allain AN: **Assessment of long-term psychosocial sequelae among POW survivors of the Korean Conflict.** *J Pers Assess* 1990, **54**:170-180.
- Engdahl BE, Speed N, Eberly RE, Schwartz J: **Comorbidity of psychiatric disorders and personality profiles of American World War II prisoners of war.** *J Nerv Ment Dis* 1991, **179**:181-187.
- Solomon Z, Neria Y, Ohry A, Waysman M, Ginzburg K: **PTSD among Israeli former prisoners of war and soldiers with combat stress reaction: a longitudinal study.** *Am J Psychiatry* 1994, **151**:554-559.
- King DW, King LA, Erickson DJ, Huang MT, Sharkansky EJ, Wolfe J: **Posttraumatic stress disorder and retrospectively reported stressor exposure: a longitudinal prediction model.** *J Abnorm Psychol* 2000, **109**:624-633.
- McFarlane AC: **Long-term psychiatric morbidity after a natural disaster. Implications for disaster planners and emergency services.** *Med J Aust* 1986, **145**:561-563.
- McFarlane AC: **The longitudinal course of posttraumatic morbidity. The range of outcomes and their predictors.** *J Nerv Ment Dis* 1988, **176**:30-39.
- McFarlane AC: **The prevalence and longitudinal course of PTSD. Implications for the neurobiological models of PTSD.** *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 1997, **821**:10-23.
- Solomon Z, Mikulincer M, Waysman M, Marlowe DH: **Delayed and immediate onset posttraumatic stress disorder. I. Differential clinical characteristics.** *Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol* 1991, **26**:1-7.
- Ursano RJ, Fullerton CS, Kao TC, Bhartiya VR: **Longitudinal assessment of posttraumatic stress disorder and depression after exposure to traumatic death.** *J Nerv Ment Dis* 1995, **183**:36-42.
- Dirkzwager AJ, Bramsen I, van der Ploeg HM: **The longitudinal course of posttraumatic stress disorder symptoms among aging military veterans.** *J Nerv Ment Dis* 2001, **189**:846-853.
- Zlotnick C, Bruce SE, Shea MT, Keller MB: **Delayed posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) and predictors of first onset of PTSD in patients with anxiety disorders.** *J Nerv Ment Dis* 2001, **189**:404-406.

34. Salcioglu E, Basoglu M, Livanou M: **Long-term psychological outcome for non-treatment-seeking earthquake survivors in Turkey.** *J Nerv Ment Dis* 2003, **191**:154-160.
35. Port CL, Engdahl B, Frazier P: **A longitudinal and retrospective study of PTSD among older prisoners of war.** *Am J Psychiatry* 2001, **158**:1474-1479.
36. Mollica RF, Sarajlic N, Chernoff M, Lavelle J, Vukovic IS, Massagli MP: **Longitudinal study of psychiatric symptoms, disability, mortality, and emigration among Bosnian refugees.** *JAMA* 2001, **286**:546-554.
37. Goldberg J, True WR, Eisen SA, Henderson WG: **A twin study of the effects of the Vietnam War on posttraumatic stress disorder.** *JAMA* 1990, **263**:1227-1232.
38. True WR, Rice J, Eisen SA, Heath AC, Goldberg J, Lyons MJ, et al.: **A twin study of genetic and environmental contributions to liability for posttraumatic stress symptoms.** *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 1993, **50**:257-264.
39. Lurie S, Geyer P: **Genetic and environmental influences of twins in posttraumatic stress.** *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 1994, **51**:838-839.
40. Comings DE, Muhleman D, Gysin R: **Dopamine D2 receptor (DRD2) gene and susceptibility to posttraumatic stress disorder: a study and replication.** *Biol Psychiatry* 1996, **40**:368-372.
41. Breslau N, Davis GC, Andreski P, Peterson EL, Schultz LR: **Sex differences in posttraumatic stress disorder.** *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 1997, **54**:1044-1048.
42. McLeod DS, Koenen KC, Meyer JM, Lyons MJ, Eisen S, True W, et al.: **Genetic and environmental influences on the relationship among combat exposure, posttraumatic stress disorder symptoms, and alcohol use.** *J Trauma Stress* 2001, **14**:259-275.
43. Radant A, Tsuang D, Peskind ER, McFall M, Raskind W: **Biological markers and diagnostic accuracy in the genetics of posttraumatic stress disorder.** *Psychiatry Res* 2001, **102**:203-215.
44. McKenzie N, Marks I, Liness S: **Family and past history of mental illness as predisposing factors in post-traumatic stress disorder.** *Psychother Psychosom* 2001, **70**:163-165.
45. Koenen KC, Harley R, Lyons MJ, Wolfe J, Simpson JC, Goldberg J, et al.: **A twin registry study of familial and individual risk factors for trauma exposure and posttraumatic stress disorder.** *J Nerv Ment Dis* 2002, **190**:209-218.
46. Frueh BC, Elhai JD, Grubaugh AL, Monnier J, Kashdan TB, Sauvageot JA, et al.: **Documented combat exposure of US veterans seeking treatment for combat-related post-traumatic stress disorder.** *Br J Psychiatry* 2005, **186**:467-472.
47. Wessely S: **War stories: Invited commentaries on... Documented combat exposures of US veterans seeking treatment for combat-related stress disorder.** *Br J Psychiatry* 2005, **186**:473-475.
48. Tyrer P: **Editor's response.** *Br J Psychiatry* 2005, **187**:91.
49. Spitzer RL, Williams JB: **Revised diagnostic criteria and a new structured interview for diagnosing anxiety disorders.** *J Psychiatr Res* 1988, **22(Suppl 1)**:55-85.
50. Williams JB, Gibbon M, First MB, Spitzer RL, Davies M, Borus J, et al.: **The Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-III-R (SCID). II. Multisite test-retest reliability.** *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 1992, **49**:630-636.
51. Spitzer RL, Williams JB, Gibbon M, First MB: **The Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-III-R (SCID). I: History, rationale, and description.** *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 1992, **49**:624-629.
52. Robins LN, Helzer JE, Croughan J, Ratcliff KS: **National Institute of Mental Health Diagnostic Interview Schedule. Its history, characteristics, and validity.** *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 1981, **38**:381-389.
53. Robins LN, Helzer JE, Ratcliff KS, Seyfried W: **Validity of the diagnostic interview schedule, version II: DSM-III diagnoses.** *Psychol Med* 1982, **12**:855-870.
54. Robins LN, Helzer JE, Weissman MM, Orvaschel H, Gruenberg E, Burke JD Jr, et al.: **Lifetime prevalence of specific psychiatric disorders in three sites.** *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 1984, **41**:949-958.
55. Helzer JE, Robins LN, McEvoy L: **Post-traumatic stress disorder in the general population. Findings of the epidemiologic catchment area survey.** *N Engl J Med* 1987, **317**:1630-1634.
56. Helzer JE, Robins LN: **The diagnostic interview schedule: its development, evolution, and use.** *Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol* 1988, **23**:6-16.
57. Erdman HP, Klein MH, Greist JH, Skare SS, Husted JJ, Robins LN, et al.: **A comparison of two computer-administered versions of the NIMH Diagnostic Interview Schedule.** *J Psychiatr Res* 1992, **26**:85-95.
58. Lindal E, Stefansson JG: **The lifetime prevalence of anxiety disorders in Iceland as estimated by the US National Institute of Mental Health Diagnostic Interview Schedule.** *Acta Psychiatr Scand* 1993, **88**:29-34.
59. Grayson DA, O'Toole BI, Marshall RP, Schureck RJ, Dobson M, Ffrench M, et al.: **Interviewer effects on epidemiologic diagnoses of posttraumatic stress disorder.** *Am J Epidemiol* 1996, **144**:589-597.
60. Keane TM, Caddell JM, Taylor KL: **Mississippi Scale for Combat-Related Posttraumatic Stress Disorder: three studies in reliability and validity.** *J Consult Clin Psychol* 1988, **56**:85-90.
61. Sloan P, Arsenault L, Hilsenroth M, Harvill L: **Use of the Mississippi scale for combat-related PTSD in detecting war-related, non-combat stress symptomatology.** *J Clin Psychol* 1995, **51**:799-801.
62. Vreven DL, Gudunowski DM, King LA, King DW: **The civilian version of the Mississippi PTSD Scale: a psychometric evaluation.** *J Trauma Stress* 1995, **8**:91-109.
63. Norris FH, Perilla JL: **The revised Civilian Mississippi Scale for PTSD: reliability, validity, and cross-language stability.** *J Trauma Stress* 1996, **9**:285-298.
64. Lauterbach D, Vrana S, King DW, King LA: **Psychometric properties of the Civilian version of the Mississippi PTSD Scale.** *J Trauma Stress* 1997, **10**:499-513.
65. Keane TM, Malloy PF, Fairbank JA: **Empirical development of an MMPI subscale for the assessment of combat-related posttraumatic stress disorder.** *J Consult Clin Psychol* 1984, **52**:888-891.
66. Watson CG, Kucala T, Manifold V: **A cross-validation of the Keane and Penk MMPI scales as measures of post-traumatic stress disorder.** *J Clin Psychol* 1986, **42**:727-732.
67. Watson CG, Juba M, Anderson PE, Manifold V: **What does the Keane et al. PTSD scale for the MMPI measure?** *J Clin Psychol* 1990, **46**:600-606.
68. Litz BT, Penk WE, Walsh S, Hyer L, Blake DD, Marx B, et al.: **Similarities and differences between MMPI and MMPI-2 applications to the assessment of posttraumatic stress disorder.** *J Pers Assess* 1991, **57**:238-253.
69. Munley PH, Bains DS, Bloem WD, Busby RM: **Post-traumatic stress disorder and the MMPI-2.** *J Trauma Stress* 1995, **8**:171-178.
70. Scotti JR, Sturges LV, Lyons JA: **The Keane PTSD Scale extracted from the MMPI: sensitivity and specificity with Vietnam veterans.** *J Trauma Stress* 1996, **9**:643-650.
71. Lyons JA, Wheeler-Cox T: **MMPI, MMPI-2 and PTSD: overview of scores, scales, and profiles.** *J Trauma Stress* 1999, **12**:175-183.
72. King DW, King LA: **Validity issues in research on Vietnam veteran adjustment.** *Psychol Bull* 1991, **109**:107-124.
73. King DW, King LA, Fairbank JA, Schlenger WE, Surface CR: **Enhancing the precision of the Mississippi Scale for Combat-Related Posttraumatic Stress Disorder: An application of item response theory.** *Psychological Assessment* 1993, **5**:457-471.
74. King DW, King LA: **Latent structure of the Mississippi Scale for Combat-Related Posttraumatic Stress Disorder: Exploratory and higher order confirmatory factor analyses.** *Assessment* 1994, **1**:275-291.
75. Watson CG, Plemel D, DeMotts J, Howard MT, Tuorila J, Moog R, et al.: **A comparison of four PTSD measures' convergent validities in Vietnam veterans.** *J Trauma Stress* 1994, **7**:75-82.
76. Kulka RA, Schlenger WE, Fairbank JA, Hough RL, Jordan BK, Marmar CR: *The National Vietnam Veterans Readjustment Study: Tables of Findings and Technical Appendices* New York: Brunner/Mazel; 1990.
77. Jordan BK, Marmar CR, Fairbank JA, Schlenger WE, Kulka RA, Hough RL, et al.: **Problems in families of male Vietnam veterans with posttraumatic stress disorder.** *J Consult Clin Psychol* 1992, **60**:916-926.
78. Zatzick DF, Marmar CR, Weiss DS, Browner WS, Metzler TJ, Golding JM, et al.: **Posttraumatic stress disorder and functioning and quality of life outcomes in a nationally representative sample of male Vietnam veterans.** *Am J Psychiatry* 1997, **154**:1690-1695.
79. Ruef AM, Litz BT, Schlenger WE: **Hispanic ethnicity and risk for combat-related posttraumatic stress disorder.** *Cultur Divers Ethnic Minor Psychol* 2000, **6**:235-251.

80. US Centers for Disease Control: **Health status of Vietnam veterans. II. Physical Health. The Vietnam Experience Study.** *JAMA* 1988, **259**:2708-2714.
81. US Centers for Disease Control: **Health status of Vietnam veterans. III. Reproductive outcomes and child health. The Vietnam Experience Study.** *JAMA* 1988, **259**:2715-2719.
82. Kulka RA, Schlenger WE, Fairbank JA, Jordan BK, Hough RL, Marmar CR, et al.: **Assessment of posttraumatic stress disorder in the community: Prospects and pitfalls from recent studies of Vietnam veterans.** *J Consult Clin Psychol* 1991, **3**:547-560.
83. Spitzer RL, Williams JB, Gibbons M: *Structured clinical interview for DSM-III-R, Non-patient version (SCID-NP-V)* New York: New York State Psychiatric Institute, Biometrics Research Department; 1987.
84. Robins LN, Helzer JE, Croughan J, Ratcliff KS: **National Institute of Mental Health Diagnostic Interview Schedule. Its history, characteristics, and validity.** *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 1981, **38**:381-389.
85. Helzer JE, Robins LN, McEvoy LT, Spitznagel EL, Stoltzman RK, Farmer A, et al.: **A comparison of clinical and diagnostic interview schedule diagnoses. Physician reexamination of lay-interviewed cases in the general population.** *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 1985, **42**:657-666.
86. US Centers for Disease Control: *Vietnam Experience Study. Health status of Vietnam veterans: Synopsis Volume I.* Atlanta: Centers for Disease Control; 1989.
87. US Centers for Disease Control: *Vietnam Experience Study. Health status of Vietnam veterans: Psychological and neuropsychological evaluations Volume IV.* Atlanta: Centers for Disease Control; 1989.
88. American Psychiatric Association: *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders (Third Edition-Revised)* 3rd edition. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association; 1987.
89. Lai TJ, Chang CM, Connor KM, Lee LC, Davidson JR: **Full and partial PTSD among earthquake survivors in rural Taiwan.** *J Psychiatr Res* 2004, **38**:313-322.
90. Liao SC, Lee MB, Lee YJ, Huang TS: **Hyperleptinemia in subjects with persistent partial posttraumatic stress disorder after a major earthquake.** *Psychosom Med* 2004, **66**:23-28.
91. Lewinsohn PM, Shankman SA, Gau JM, Klein DN: **The prevalence and co-morbidity of subthreshold psychiatric conditions.** *Psychological Medicine* 2004, **34**:613-622.
92. Breslau N, Lucia VC, Davis CG: **Partial PTSD versus full PTSD: an empirical examination of associated impairment.** *Psychological Medicine* 2004, **34**:1-10.
93. Narrow WE, Rae DS, Robins LN, Regier DA: **Revised prevalence estimates of mental disorders in the United States: using a clinical significance criterion to reconcile 2 surveys' estimates.** *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 2002, **59**:115-123.
94. **Institute of Medicine. Post Traumatic Stress Disorder: A Review** 2006 [<http://www.iom.edu/cms/3793/32410.aspx>].

Pre-publication history

The pre-publication history for this paper can be accessed here:

<http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-244X/6/19/prepub>

Publish with **BioMed Central** and every scientist can read your work free of charge

"BioMed Central will be the most significant development for disseminating the results of biomedical research in our lifetime."

Sir Paul Nurse, Cancer Research UK

Your research papers will be:

- available free of charge to the entire biomedical community
- peer reviewed and published immediately upon acceptance
- cited in PubMed and archived on PubMed Central
- yours — you keep the copyright

Submit your manuscript here:
http://www.biomedcentral.com/info/publishing_adv.asp

