CORRECTION Open Access

Correction to: Efficacy and acceptability of parent-only group cognitive behavioral intervention for treatment of anxiety disorder in children and adolescents: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials



Bangmin Yin^{1,2,3}, Teng Teng^{2,3}, Lyu Tong⁴, Xuemei Li^{2,3}, Li Fan^{2,3}, Xinyu Zhou^{5*} and Peng Xie^{2,3*}

Correction to: BMC Psychiatry 21, 29 (2021) https://doi.org/10.1186/s12888-020-03021-0

Following publication of the original article [1], the authors identified errors in Fig. 5 and Fig. 6. The correct figures are given below.

The author group has been updated above and the original article [1] has been corrected.

Author details

¹Department of Neurology, People's Hospital of Deyang City, Deyang, China. ²Department of Neurology, The First Affiliated Hospital of Chongqing Medical University, Chongqing, China. ³NHC Key Laboratory of Diagnosis and Treatment on Brain Functional Diseases, The First Affiliated Hospital, Chongqing Medical University, No. 1 Youyi Road, Chongqing 400016, China. ⁴Department of Immunology, College of Basic Medical Sciences, China Medical University, Shenyang, China. ⁵Department of Psychiatry, The First Affiliated Hospital of Chongqing Medical University, No. 1 Youyi Road, Chongqing 400016, China.

Published online: 16 February 2021

Reference

 Yin, et al. Efficacy and acceptability of parent-only group cognitive behavioral intervention for treatment of anxiety disorder in children and adolescents: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. BMC Psychiatry. 2021;21:29. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12888-020-03021-0.

The original article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1186/s12888-020-03021-0.

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s). 2021 **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

^{*} Correspondence: xinyu973@126.com; xiepeng973@126.com

⁵Department of Psychiatry, The First Affiliated Hospital of Chongqing Medical University, No. 1 Youyi Road, Chongqing 400016, China

²Department of Neurology, The First Affiliated Hospital of Chongqing Medical University, Chongqing, China

Yin et al. BMC Psychiatry (2021) 21:101 Page 2 of 3

	Parent-only				WL		Std. Mean Difference			Std. Mean Difference			
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% C		IV, Random, 95% CI			
Cartwright-Hatton 2011	0.39	11.27	23	-3.26	12.1	23	0.0%	0.31 [-0.27, 0.89]					
Cobham 2017	-10.5	14.65	32	2.8	11.65	29	42.3%	-0.99 [-1.52, -0.45]		_			
Waters 2009	-3.68	2.75	38	-0.6	1.95	11	23.7%	-1.17 [-1.88, -0.45]			-		
Özyurt 2016	-12.42	10.15	26	-1.31	10.48	24	34.0%	-1.06 [-1.66, -0.47]		-	-		
Total (95% CI)			96			64	100.0%	-1.05 [-1.40, -0.71]		•			
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.00; Chi ² = 0.16, df = 2 (P = 0.93); l ² = 0%									<u> </u>	 		 	
Test for overall effect: Z = 5.95 (P < 0.00001)									-4 F:	-2 avours [paren	υ t-only] Fav	ours [WL]	4
Fig. 5 sensitivity analysis	which e	xclude	d the	study o	of Carty	wright-	Hatton (2	2011) [18]					

Yin et al. BMC Psychiatry (2021) 21:101 Page 3 of 3




Fig. 6 Subgroup analyses of primary efficacy outcomes, comparison of parent-only and WL. a gender subgroup. b anxiety rating scale subgroup. c risk of bias subgroup